

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,467	04/12/2006	Shinichi Kaga	2006-0526A	3223	
513 WENDEROTT	7590 07/21/200 H. LIND & PONACK, 1	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Stre	et, N.W.,	COX, ALEXIS K			
Suite 400 East Washington 1	OC 20005-1503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Transaction, L	7C 20000 1000		3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/575,467		KAGA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALEXIS K. COX	3744		

	ALEXIS K. COX	3744						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17g is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
		E1-4						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a					
	t prior to the data of fline a brief							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further core 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c								
NOTE: The applicant merely argues limitations wh		but not claimed; no a	mendment to the					
claims was made, as all are previously presented.		mantions Amoundment (DTOL 224)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	-1 OL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or manended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-40</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
11. 🔀 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
12. Note the attached miormation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (1 10/05/00) Fapel No(s).							
/Cheryl J. Tyler/	Maria Card							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744	/Alexis Cox/ Examiner, AU 3744							

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues the "plurality of refrigerating specifications" to include, explicitly, a refrigerator and a freezer. However, as this is not an invocation of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, this feature is not in the claims; any variance in temperature settings constitutes a plurality of refrigerating specifications as claimed.